

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/822,045	03/30/2001	John Gary Sousa	PTK-194	8435
21323	7590 03/13/2003			
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET			EXAMINER	
			JACKSON, CORNELIUS H	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/822,045	SOUSA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Cornelius H. Jackson	2828			
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	s correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	December 2002 .				
· _ ·	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		0 . 00			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		Paul D			
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement. St	JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/822,045

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Acknowledgment

1. Acknowledgment is made that applicant's Amendment, filed on 20 December 2002, has been entered. Upon entrance of the Amendment claims 1 and 2 were amended. Claims 1-8 are now pending in the present application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Geels et al. (6307873). Geels et al. disclose a diode laser comprising a plurality of semiconductor layers including a top layer 22A with a ridge 26, a bottom layer 13, and

Art Unit: 2828

an intermediate emission layer 15, the layers each having a refractive index associated therewith, the refractive index of the emission layer differing from the refractive indices of the top and bottom layers; a dopant region contained by the ridge; means for facilitating application of an electric field through the layers, wherein the ridge 26 comprises an elongated segment 10A and a flared segment 10B extending to the first edge 10D and all the other stated limitations, see Figs. 1-4, col. 3, lines 31-63, col. 5, line 3-col. 7, line 15.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Geels et al. disclose the elongated segment has a width that permits only a single mode of light to propagate and all the other stated limitations, see col. 3, lines 31-63.

Regarding claim 5, Geels et al. disclose all the stated limitations, **see Figs. 10D-**E.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/822,045

Art Unit: 2828

5. Claims 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geels et al. (6307873). Geels et al., as applied to claims 1 and 3-5 above, teach all the stated limitations except for the bottom layer having a dopant material in the same identical shape as the ridge or the number of groves there are in the flared segment. Geels et al. does teach the grooves and its pattern may have many other configurations that are realizable to meet the objectives of this invention, see col. 8, lines 18-55. Therefore, it would have been a matter of design choice and since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claims 6-8, see rejection above.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,045

Art Unit: 2828

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

chi

March 10, 2003

PAUL IP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800